WHIOCE PUBLISHING PTE. LTD.
PROVIDING
FIRST-CLASS SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION
FOR TOP SCHOLARS

2026 Volume 4, Issue 2
Contemporary Education Frontiers
ISSN (Online): 3029-1860 ISSN (Print): 3029-1879

A National Security Perspective on the United States' Drug War Policy in Colombia

Xingchen Guo*
China West Normal University, School of History and Culture, Nanchong 637002, Sichuan, China

**Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.*

Copyright: © 2026 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract: Colombia has long been recognized as one of the world's primary producers of cocaine, and its geographic location has made it a crucial hub in the global drug trade. the consequences of Colombia's drug trade extend beyond domestic issues and directly affect the national security interests of the United States.In response to these challenges, the United States government has maintained long-term cooperation with the Colombian government, supporting various anti-drug strategies aimed at reducing narcotics production and dismantling trafficking networks. These efforts have included military assistance, intelligence cooperation, and large-scale development programs such as Plan Colombia.This paper examines the evolution of U.S. drug war policies in Colombia from the perspective of national security, By exploring both domestic and international dimensions of the drug war, this study seeks to provide a clearer understanding of the broader geopolitical implications of U.S.–Colombia cooperation in combating narcotics trafficking.

Keywords: War on Drugs; U.S. National Security; U.S.–Colombia Relations

Online publication: February 26, 2026

1. Introduction

"National security" has always been the core focus of U.S. foreign policy. From Pan-Americanism breaking free from European control to the Cold War bipolar confrontation between the U.S. and the Soviet Union, and ultimately the dissolution of the Soviet Union establishing America as the world's sole superpower, the nation's international interests have been maintained through various diplomatic means. The Colombian drug war discussed in this article is one such strategy employed to safeguard U.S. national security. Moreover, U.S. national security is closely intertwined with Colombia's anti-drug campaign. From the perspective of drug trade impacts, the United States, with its massive drug consumer base, has seen increased social issues caused by widespread drug circulation, including drug abuse, criminal activities, and social unrest, all of which directly threaten national stability and security[1]. Additionally, the drug trade provides substantial funding for organized crime groups, exacerbating transnational criminal activities and violence that endanger U.S. national security. Geographically, Colombia stands as one of South America's most significant drug-producing nations, where rampant drug trafficking severely undermines its stability and security. From a geopolitical standpoint, the U.S. consistently views Latin America as its backyard. It does not wish to see frequent revolutions and violent anti-government events in its neighboring regions, as this would fundamentally contradict its national interests in

regional security. Therefore, the U.S. has consistently supported the Colombian government in combating drug trafficking through joint operations. The U.S. has provided substantial military assistance, training, and intelligence support to help Colombia strengthen anti-drug efforts and dismantle drug production and trafficking networks. This support is designed to reduce the flow of drugs into the United States and to reduce the impact of the drug trade on U.S. national security.

2. The Drug War in Colombia and U.S. National Security

2.1. The Proliferation of Drugs and the Rise of Armed Movements

Colombia's drug problem can be traced back to the first half of the twentieth century, when coca cultivation gradually expanded across several regions of the Andes. The Republic of Colombia is located in the northwestern part of South America and is one of the largest countries in Latin America. It borders the Caribbean Sea to the north, Venezuela to the east, Brazil to the southeast, Peru and Ecuador to the south, and Panama and the Pacific Ocean to the west. Its strategic geographic location has historically made it an important point of contact between North and South America.

Colombia's natural environment also plays an important role in the development of its drug economy. Situated near the equator, the country experiences a tropical climate characterized by high humidity and abundant rainfall. The dense forests of the Amazon Basin and the mountainous terrain of the Andes provide ideal conditions for coca cultivation while simultaneously making government surveillance and law enforcement difficult. These geographical factors have enabled drug cultivation and production to flourish in remote areas that are difficult for the state to control.

Colombia, together with Peru and Bolivia, forms what is often referred to as the "Andean drug triangle," a region historically associated with coca cultivation and cocaine production. Among these countries, Peru possesses the largest coca cultivation areas, while Colombia has developed one of the most extensive coca processing industries in the world. Over time, the country established a sophisticated production chain that includes coca cultivation, cocaine processing, transportation networks, and international distribution channels.

The growth of this illicit industry created employment opportunities for large numbers of people in rural areas where economic alternatives were limited. For many farmers, coca cultivation became a more profitable option than traditional agricultural production[2]. This economic dependence on the drug economy made efforts to eradicate coca crops extremely difficult.

At the same time, the United States, as one of the world's largest consumer markets for illegal drugs, has indirectly contributed to the financial flows sustaining this industry. Profits from narcotics sales in the United States are often reinvested into criminal networks in Latin America. In Colombia, these funds have frequently been used to purchase weapons from international arms dealers, further strengthening armed organizations involved in the drug trade.

As in many regions affected by drug trafficking, the expansion of narcotics production in Colombia has been accompanied by the rise of armed groups and violent criminal organizations. These groups often combine ideological motivations with economic interests related to drug trafficking.Two of the most prominent anti-government armed organizations in Colombia are the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC) and the National Liberation Army (ELN)[3]. Both groups emerged during the Cold War period and initially framed their struggle in ideological terms.

In addition to these left-wing guerrilla movements, right-wing paramilitary groups also emerged in Colombia during the late twentieth century. These groups were often supported by local elites and landowners seeking protection from guerrilla attacks. Many paramilitary organizations also became involved in drug trafficking and other criminal activities.

The convergence of ideological conflict, economic incentives, and weak state authority created a complex environment in which armed groups and drug trafficking networks became deeply intertwined[4]. This situation significantly contributed to the long-term instability of Colombian society.

2.2. Colombian Drug Trafficking as a Threat to U.S. National Security

Drug trafficking in Colombia has long been regarded by U.S. policymakers as a significant threat to national security.

Although the production and distribution of illegal narcotics are often viewed primarily as criminal issues, the scale and influence of Colombia's drug trade have transformed it into a strategic concern for the United States. The expansion of cocaine production and trafficking networks has not only fueled organized crime but has also generated political instability, corruption, and violence throughout the region.

One of the most direct ways in which Colombian drug trafficking affects U.S. national security is through the large-scale flow of cocaine into the United States. Since the late twentieth century, Colombia has remained one of the primary sources of cocaine in the American market. The availability of narcotics has contributed to widespread drug abuse, increased crime rates, and significant public health challenges within the United States. Consequently, the U.S. government has increasingly framed the drug trade as both a domestic and international security issue.

Furthermore, the financial resources generated by drug trafficking have enabled criminal organizations and armed groups in Colombia to expand their influence and capabilities. Drug revenues have been used to purchase weapons, recruit fighters, and maintain armed operations, thereby prolonging internal conflicts and weakening the authority of the Colombian state. The persistence of such instability raises concerns about the potential emergence of a "narco-state," in which criminal organizations exercise significant control over political and economic institutions.

From the perspective of U.S. national security strategy, instability in Colombia also carries broader regional implications. Colombia occupies a strategic geographic position in the Western Hemisphere, and prolonged instability could disrupt regional security and economic cooperation. As a result, the United States has increasingly viewed the suppression of drug trafficking in Colombia as an essential component of its broader national security policy in Latin America.

2.3. Regional Power Structures and the Drug Economy in Colombia

To understand the relationship between narcotics trafficking and political power in Colombia, it is necessary to examine the broader historical and social context.

In June 1953, Colombian army commander Gustavo Rojas Pinilla launched a military coup that overthrew the Conservative government. Although the new regime initially promised political reforms, its authority remained limited in many rural regions. Weak state control over large areas of the country created opportunities for the emergence of regional power holders, including local warlords and armed groups.

These local power structures often controlled territory, economic resources, and transportation routes[2]. In many cases, they established informal political systems that operated independently of the central government. Such conditions created a favorable environment for the expansion of illegal economic activities, including drug trafficking.

Economic incentives played a central role in this process. The production and export of cocaine became one of the most profitable illicit industries in the world. Drug traffickers generated enormous financial resources, allowing them to influence political institutions, corrupt government officials, and maintain private armed forces.

Regional power holders frequently provided protection for drug producers and traffickers. In exchange, they received financial benefits and political support. This mutually beneficial relationship strengthened the position of both drug trafficking organizations and local political actors.

Political influence also became an important dimension of the drug economy. By controlling drug-related revenues, certain regional leaders were able to expand their authority and build extensive patronage networks. These networks often included local officials, security forces, and business interests.

In some cases, corruption and intimidation weakened the ability of government institutions to effectively combat drug trafficking. Officials who attempted to enforce anti-drug policies were sometimes threatened or bribed, further undermining state authority.

Social instability further reinforced the connection between narcotics trafficking and political violence. The drug trade has frequently been accompanied by kidnapping, assassination, and armed conflict. These activities created a climate of fear that allowed criminal organizations to operate with relative impunity.

As a result, the relationship between regional power structures and narcotics trafficking in Colombia became deeply entrenched. Over time, this dynamic contributed to persistent cycles of violence and instability that continue to affect the country's political and social development.

3. U.S.–Colombia Cooperation in the Context of National Security

3.1. The basis for the United States to conduct anti-drug operations in Colombia

Cooperation between Colombia and the United States must also be understood within the broader framework of U.S. foreign policy in the Western Hemisphere.

Since the nineteenth century, the United States has maintained a strategic interest in Latin America. Policies such as the Monroe Doctrine emphasized the importance of preventing external powers from gaining influence in the region. Later diplomatic initiatives, including the Good Neighbor Policy of the 1930s, sought to improve relations with Latin American countries while preserving U.S. strategic interests.

During the Cold War, concerns about the spread of communism further strengthened U.S. engagement in Latin America. The United States sought to maintain political stability in the region and prevent revolutionary movements from gaining power.Until the 1970s, relations between the United States and Latin America were deeply influenced by American "hegemony":the idea that the United States had the right to insist on political, ideological, diplomatic and economic solidarity[5].

Colombia became an important partner in this strategy. The country maintained relatively close diplomatic relations with the United States and participated in U.S. initiatives. For example, Colombia was the only Latin American country to send combat troops during the Korean War, demonstrating the strength of its alliance with the United States.

These historical ties laid the foundation for later cooperation in addressing the challenges posed by drug trafficking. As narcotics production in Colombia expanded during the late twentieth century, U.S. policymakers increasingly viewed the issue as a threat to national security.

3.2. The Drug War and U.S. National Security Strategy

With the end of the Cold War, in Latin America the U.S. Government shifted security issues to the war against drugs[6]. From the perspective of U.S. policymakers, the drug trade in Colombia represents more than a criminal issue. It is often framed as a national security challenge with broader geopolitical implications.

The availability of illegal drugs in the United States has significant social and economic consequences, including public health crises, increased crime rates, and rising law enforcement costs. Reducing the supply of narcotics from international sources therefore became a key objective of U.S. foreign policy.

In addition, the presence of powerful drug trafficking organizations in Colombia raised concerns about regional stability. Some analysts warned that the combination of armed insurgencies, drug revenues, and weak state institutions could potentially transform Colombia into a "narco-state."[3]

The United States needs to address the social problems caused by drug trafficking as soon as possible, as well as the protracted nature of the internal conflict in Colombia. Perhaps the only possible solution is to achieve stability within Colombia. Such concerns contributed to increased U.S. involvement in Colombia's anti-drug efforts. Military cooperation, intelligence sharing, and financial assistance all became central components of the bilateral relationship.

4. The Transformation of U.S. Anti-Drug Strategy: Plan Colombia

By the late twentieth century, the limitations of earlier anti-drug strategies had become increasingly apparent. Efforts focused primarily on law enforcement and military cooperation had achieved only limited success in reducing drug

production. In response, the United States and Colombia developed a more comprehensive strategy known as Plan Colombia, which was launched in 1999.

Plan Colombia represented one of the largest foreign assistance programs implemented by the United States in Latin America. The initiative combined military support, economic development programs, and institutional reforms aimed at strengthening the Colombian state. A key objective of the plan was to reduce coca cultivation and disrupt the financial networks of drug trafficking organizations. To achieve this goal, the United States provided training, equipment, and intelligence support to Colombian security forces[3].

At the same time, the program also included development initiatives designed to promote economic alternatives to coca cultivation. These programs aimed to improve infrastructure, expand agricultural opportunities, and strengthen local governance in rural areas[4].

Some scholars have compared Plan Colombia to a "Marshall Plan for Colombia" [3]because of its scale and comprehensive approach. Although the program faced criticism from various political and social groups, it played a significant role in strengthening the Colombian government's capacity to confront armed groups and criminal networks.

5. Conclusion

The drug war in Colombia illustrates the complex relationship between domestic conflict, international security concerns, and global economic forces. While Colombia's drug economy emerged from local historical and social conditions, its consequences have extended far beyond national borders.

For the United States, the expansion of drug trafficking networks has been viewed as a significant threat to national security. This perception has shaped decades of cooperation between the United States and Colombia in combating narcotics production and trafficking.Policies such as Plan Colombia demonstrate the evolving nature of this cooperation, combining military assistance with economic development initiatives. Although these strategies have achieved certain successes, the persistence of drug trafficking networks indicates that the problem remains deeply rooted.Therefore, the plan remains a "strategy without answers"[7].

Ultimately, addressing the challenges posed by the drug trade requires a comprehensive approach that considers not only security concerns but also the underlying economic and social factors that sustain illicit economies. Only through such multidimensional efforts can long-term stability and effective solutions be achieved.

Funding

2025 General Project"A Study on the Shaping and Reconstruction of the Mandala System in Southeast Asia by Indian Religious Culture" (Project No.: PSC25YB05) by the Pakistan Research Center and India Research China West Normal University. 2025 General Project "A Study of Economic Interactions Among Han, Tibetan, and Qiang Communities in the 'Hengfengjiu' Trading House in the Zaguonao River Basin During the Qing Dynasty" (Project No.: QX2025YJS02) by the Sichuan Minjiang River Upper Economic and Social Society and Ecological Civilisation Research.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Dario ET, 2005, The Decisive Phase of Colombia's War on Narco-Terrorism. Defense Department.

[2] Peng MZ, 2021, U.S. policy toward Colombia, 1921–1979 (Master's thesis, Heilongjiang University), 43-44.

[3] Dario ET, 2005, The Decisive Phase of Colombia's War on Narco-Terrorism. Defense Department.

[4] Solaún M, 2002, U.S. Interventions in Latin America: "Plan Colombia". Program in Arms Control, Disarmament, and International Security (ACDIS), University of Illinois (ACDIS Occasional Paper), 2.

[5] Lowenthal AF, 2006, From Regional Hegemony to Complex Bilateral Relations: The United States and Latin America in the Early 21st Century. *Nueva Sociedad*, 206: 2.

[6] Solaún M, 2002, U.S. Interventions in Latin America: "Plan Colombia". Program in Arms Control, Disarmament, and International Security (ACDIS), University of Illinois (ACDIS Occasional Paper), 3.

[7] Bai FS, 2001, What is the Colombia Plan? *Latin American Studies*, (2): 46-48.

Publisher's note

Whioce Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.