WHIOCE PUBLISHING PTE. LTD.
PROVIDING FIRST-CLASS SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION FOR TOP SCHOLARS

2025 Volume 3, Issue 10
Educational Innovation Research
ISSN: 3029-1844(Print) ISSN: 3029-1852(Online)

Application Practice and Teaching Transformation Research on Sustainable Design of Commercial Complexes from the Perspective of Industry-Education Integration

Junhua Yu, Wei Guo
Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou 571126, Hainan, China

**Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.*

Copyright: © 2025 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract: Against the backdrop of the continuous evolution of contemporary urban spatial structure, commercial complexes have surpassed the functional limitations of traditional retail spaces and reconstructed into composite public spaces that integrate urban vitality, cultural representation, and social interaction functions. The urgency of the global ecological crisis and the widespread awakening of public environmental awareness jointly drive a profound transformation of the architectural design paradigm - from a resource consumption model that pursues short-term benefits to a sustainable development path that respects ecological laws, demonstrates humanistic care, and strengthens long-term resilience. The concept of sustainable design is not a mechanical superposition of technical elements or a superficial attachment of conceptual symbols, but a systematic thinking that runs through the entire life cycle of a project: this concept regards architecture as a living organism in continuous dialogue with land, climate, and community, aiming to achieve a dynamic balance between environmental responsibility, social value, and economic efficiency. In environmental design education, the teaching transformation of this concept has long faced the dilemma of "theoretical suspension", manifested as the disconnection between course content and the forefront of the industry, and students find it difficult to translate abstract principles into concrete design strategies. This article is based on a dual perspective of practice and teaching, systematically exploring the organic integration mechanism of sustainable concepts in the planning, construction, and operation of commercial complexes. Through theoretical interpretation, strategic analysis, and the construction of teaching transformation paths, a practical teaching dual track framework is formed, which combines operability, humanistic depth, and forward-looking vision. It provides action guidance for the industry and systematic reference for optimizing the curriculum system of environmental design majors in universities, innovating teaching methods, and deeply integrating industry and education, effectively promoting the realization of the "integration of knowledge and action" educational goal.

Keywords: Industry-Education Integration; Commercial Complexes; Sustainable Design; Teaching Transformation; Environmental Design

Online publication: November 26, 2025

1. Introduction

Against the backdrop of continuous evolution in contemporary urban spatial structures, commercial complexes have transcended the functional limitations of traditional retail spaces, evolving into multifunctional public spaces that integrate urban vitality, cultural representation, and social interaction. The urgency of global ecological crises and the widespread awakening of public environmental awareness have jointly driven profound transformations in architectural design paradigms—shifting from resource-intensive models pursuing short-term gains to sustainable development approaches that respect ecological principles, demonstrate humanistic care, and enhance long-term resilience[1]. Sustainable design philosophy is not merely a mechanical accumulation of technical elements or superficial attachment to conceptual symbols, but a systematic mindset spanning the entire project lifecycle. This philosophy views architecture as a living organism engaged in continuous dialogue with land, climate, and communities, aiming to achieve dynamic equilibrium among environmental responsibility, social value, and economic efficiency. In environmental design education, the implementation of this philosophy has long faced the challenge of "theoretical detachment," manifested through disconnection between curriculum content and industry frontiers, making it difficult for students to translate abstract principles into concrete design strategies[2]. Grounded in both practical and pedagogical perspectives, this study systematically explores the organic integration mechanisms of sustainable concepts across planning, development, and operation phases of commercial complexes. Through theoretical interpretation, strategic analysis, and instructional transformation pathways, it establishes a dual-track framework combining operational feasibility, humanistic depth, and forward-looking vision. This provides actionable guidance for the industry while offering systematic references for optimizing university environmental design curricula, innovating teaching methodologies, and deepening industry-academia collaboration, effectively advancing the educational goal of "integrating knowledge with practice."

2. Deep alignment between conceptual evolution and commercial value

Sustainable design philosophy has evolved over decades, expanding from individual building energy efficiency measures (e.g., insulated walls and high-efficiency lighting) to a three-dimensional framework integrating ecological carrying capacity, social equity, and economic viability[3]. This evolution profoundly addresses paradigm shifts in development: architecture should no longer exist as isolated entities disconnected from nature, but rather serve as a medium for harmonious human-environment coexistence. This concept demonstrates exceptional adaptability in high-density, multifunctional commercial complexes with heavy foot traffic. Traditional development models, characterized by simplistic functional layering and inefficient resource utilization, often lead to energy waste, ecological fragmentation, and community alienation. Sustainable design approaches optimize spatial layouts through ecological baseline assessments, minimize disruption to site-native textures, and integrate green technologies, humanistic experiences, and commercial logic into an organic system—transforming environmental investments into sustainable competitive advantages.

The restructuring of commercial value serves as the core driving force for implementing business philosophies. Green practices significantly reduce long-term operational costs: efficient energy systems minimize electricity consumption, water recycling controls utility expenses, while hidden benefits accumulate throughout the lifecycle, enhancing asset risk resilience. Improved spatial quality simultaneously optimizes user experience and strengthens brand recognition. Empirical evidence shows that commercial environments with ample natural lighting, good ventilation, and abundant greenery can extend customer dwell times, stimulate purchasing intent, and boost employee productivity and satisfaction[4]. As ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) principles become deeply integrated into capital markets, projects with green certifications and clear implementation pathways gain favor among responsible investors, strengthening asset valuation resilience. Serving as urban public interfaces, commercial complexes also function as tangible vehicles for corporate social responsibility: through energy efficiency data visualization, eco-friendly interactive installations, and community-building initiatives, they effectively communicate ecological values, earning emotional resonance and social reputation. The "Responsibility-Experience-Value" virtuous cycle drives sustainable design transformation from cost burdens to strategic assets, propelling industries from passive compliance to proactive innovation, achieving synergistic growth of commercial benefits and social value.

The core courses “Design Principles” and “Commercial Space Design Special Topics” in the Environmental Design program must systematically incorporate a “Sustainable Business Value” teaching module. A three-stage instructional approach— “case comparison, data analysis, and solution validation” —is implemented: Students analyze empirical data on energy consumption, customer dwell time, and tenant renewal rates between traditional and green-certified shopping malls; simplified Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) tools simulate carbon footprint differences in design strategies; and graduation projects require the creation of a “Sustainable Design Value Theory Certificate” that quantifies or qualitatively links technical choices to user experience, operational costs, and social impacts. This training reinforces the concept that “green is profit,” equips students to translate ecological responsibility into design language and business narratives, and solidifies their foundation for interdisciplinary professional competencies[5].

3. Systematic Recycling Strategies for Energy and Resources

Efficient resource utilization serves as the physical foundation of sustainable design, with its core focus on transcending linear consumption paradigms to establish closed-loop management systems for energy, water, and materials, thereby achieving orderly conversion between inputs and outputs[6].

The energy strategy emphasizes coordinated efforts in resource expansion and consumption optimization. Photovoltaic systems are integrated into building facades including roofs, curtain walls, and sunshades, coupled with ground-source heat pumps that utilize shallow geothermal energy to promote localized energy production and cascaded utilization. Real-time data collection from IoT sensor networks monitors pedestrian flow, temperature/humidity levels, and light parameters, while AI algorithms dynamically optimize HVAC systems, ventilation, and lighting strategies. Intelligent on/off scheduling and energy storage management are implemented based on operating hours and time-of-use pricing to enhance energy efficiency without compromising comfort. Selected projects explore diversified supplementary approaches such as wind and hydrogen energy to strengthen system resilience, driving buildings’ evolution from energy consumers to energy producers[7].

Water resource management integrates natural processes with human interventions. Source reduction is achieved through sensor-based water-saving devices, while site designs adhere to sponge city principles by employing permeable pavements, sunken green spaces, and rain gardens to enhance rainwater infiltration and retention, mitigate flooding, and recharge groundwater. Roof and plaza rainwater is prioritized for landscaping, landscape maintenance, and road cleaning after treatment, with reclaimed water reuse expanding applications of non-traditional water sources. Constructed wetlands purify water quality while creating microhabitats to boost biodiversity. Water feature designs replace high-energy consumption fountains with circulating drip irrigation systems featuring still water surfaces or shallow flows, seamlessly integrating functionality, ecology, and aesthetic value through minimalist approaches[8].

Material strategy permeates the entire lifecycle of buildings. During planning phases, priority is given to locally sourced materials, recycled resources (such as secondary metals and reclaimed glass aggregates), and low-carbon alternatives (including bamboo-wood composites and low-carbon concrete), while incorporating health considerations. The construction phase utilizes BIM to optimize structural design, minimize waste generation, and establish sorting stations to facilitate construction waste recycling. Operational phases implement waste sorting systems, with food waste processed on-site as organic fertilizer for rooftop farms, creating a closed-loop “consumption-waste-recycling” cycle that encourages tenant participation in waste regeneration. Comprehensive lifecycle material flow management transforms circular economy principles into tangible daily practices[9].

In courses such as Green Building Technology and Sustainable Materials Science, we strengthen the “technology-teaching-practice” closed-loop approach: The energy module utilizes EnergyPlus or DesignBuilder for parametric energy consumption simulation and comparative analysis; the water resources module involves field surveys of sponge facilities, mapping runoff pathways, and proposing optimization solutions; the materials module collaborates with enterprises to establish a Green Material Sample Bank, where students compile performance profiles through testing and traceability analysis; BIM technology permeates course assignments, with graduation projects requiring material carbon footprint calculations and waste management contingency plans. This teaching system transforms circular logic into verifiable design competencies, effectively bridging the gap between academic training and industrial practice[10].

4. Organic integration of ecological spaces and humanistic experiences.

The essence of sustainable design lies in transforming ecological principles into perceptible, participatory, and resonant spatial experiences, fostering harmonious coexistence among people, architecture, and nature[11].

The vertical greening system transcends planar limitations by creating a three-dimensional network integrating ground-level rain gardens, ecological grass swales, vertical landscaping, and rooftop gardens. Planting strategies prioritize native adaptability and ecological efficacy: native trees and shrubs form stable communities, while seasonal vegetation enhances landscape layers. Tread-resistant ground covers at the base layer, combined with nectar-producing plants and berry species, attract wildlife to establish micro-ecological cycles. This system not only regulates microclimates and mitigates heat island effects but also strengthens human-nature connections through multisensory engagement—visual, tactile, olfactory, and auditory—thereby improving environmental comfort and psychological well-being.

Indoor environmental design prioritizes health and comfort through optimized building orientation and window configurations to enhance natural lighting and ventilation efficiency. Eco-friendly materials such as low-VOC coatings and formaldehyde-free panels are employed to control pollution sources. The fresh air system integrates high-efficiency filtration and sterilization modules, while light environments and acoustic landscapes are intelligently adjusted according to human circadian rhythms. Experience optimization is achieved through sound-absorbing materials and functional zoning. Biophilic design elements—including atrium water features, natural-textured walls, and ambient soundscapes—are incorporated, grounded in environmental psychology principles. Empirical studies demonstrate their effectiveness in alleviating psychological stress, improving emotional well-being, and fostering a sense of place belonging.

Spatial design also serves to foster community cohesion and preserve cultural continuity. Flexible elements like open terraced plazas and shared gardens facilitate community activities such as farmers' markets and handicraft workshops. Urban renewal initiatives preserve emotionally significant historical structures while integrating local art and intangible cultural heritage, creating dynamic spaces for cultural inheritance. Through collaborative practices including rooftop farming operations and nature education tours, schools are engaged in environmental education programs. This approach transforms commercial spaces into "urban living rooms," extending sustainable values from physical infrastructure to socio-cultural dimensions[12].

In courses such as Environmental Psychology, Landscape Design, and Cultural Space Creation, we deepen the "Experience-Reflection-Creation" teaching framework through three key initiatives. The Spatial Perception Workshop enables students to document real-world usage patterns of commercial complexes using behavioral mapping, interviews, and sensory diaries. By analyzing ecological connectivity, cultural expressions, and social inclusivity, they develop micro-renovation proposals grounded in biophilic principles, with VR simulations for feasibility validation. Collaborating with local communities, the Sustainable Design Service Learning program guides students in creating low-cost ecological transformation solutions for underutilized spaces, facilitating resident participation in discussions and pilot implementations. This approach strengthens human-centered design awareness, cultivates social responsibility and practical competencies, and bridges design education with real-world social contexts.

5. Collaborative Management from a Full Life Cycle Perspective

Sustainable benefits require systematic coordination across planning, construction, and operational phases, along with multi-stakeholder collaboration, to establish an integrated management mechanism spanning the entire project lifecycle.

The planning phase marks the beginning of conceptual internalization. Upon project initiation, a cross-disciplinary team comprising architects, landscape designers, ecologists, and community representatives is assembled to conduct site ecological baseline assessments. This includes identifying sensitive elements such as ancient trees, water features, and hydrological characteristics, followed by developing conservation and integration strategies. Utilizing parametric design tools and environmental performance simulation software, multiple design options are evaluated for solar exposure, wind conditions, and energy consumption to optimize layouts that balance ecological benefits with spatial quality. Concurrently, the "Sustainable Design Implementation Guidelines" are formulated, incorporating quantifiable metrics like energy intensity, green coverage rates, and material eco-compliance standards into design briefs and tender contracts to ensure consistent conceptual alignment. Through community interviews and surveys, user needs are accurately identified, with proactive integration of accessibility features, maternal-infant facilities, and age-friendly amenities to minimize future modifications and demonstrate a people-centered design philosophy.

The construction phase is pivotal for implementing green practices. A comprehensive green construction management plan should be developed, detailing dust control measures (including perimeter barriers with sprinklers and material covering), noise management strategies (using low-noise equipment and optimized scheduling), and waste sorting protocols. Temporary facilities should prioritize modular components that are easy to dismantle and recycle. Construction waste must be sorted and processed, with collaboration between professionals to achieve resource recovery from recycled concrete aggregates and metal scrap. Third-party environmental supervisors should be engaged to monitor progress and conduct regular key performance indicators assessments. Workers must receive environmental training to internalize the principle of "cleaning up after work and conserving resources." While these measures may increase operational costs, they effectively mitigate environmental risks and community conflicts, enhance corporate social responsibility (CSR) reputation, and solidify the foundation for sustainable operations.

The operational phase focuses on value realization and dynamic optimization. We establish an intelligent energy and resource management platform that monitors real-time energy and water consumption, generates visual reports with periodic feedback to provide optimization references. The "Green Partnership Program" is implemented through co-construction agreements with tenants to share conservation achievements, fostering collaborative environments via case selection initiatives. User engagement forms the core of cultural cultivation: A lightweight digital platform integrates functions like waste sorting guidance, event reservations, and carbon footprint tracking. A "Green Points" system links environmental behaviors to merchant discounts and public welfare actions, promoting synergy between individual and collective values. Regular themed workshops (e.g., waste recycling, eco-composting) and open days (energy system tours, rooftop farm experiences) facilitate the internalization of concepts into community consensus through experiential learning. Simultaneously, we refine equipment maintenance protocols and landscaping systems to ensure long-term stability. The "Monitoring-Feedback-Optimization-Co-creation" mechanism transforms sustainable practices from one-way management to multi-stakeholder collaboration, nurturing an organic green cultural ecosystem.

In advanced environmental design courses, the "full-cycle project-based learning" approach is comprehensively implemented. Using real-world industry-academia collaboration projects as platforms, student teams complete phased tasks under dual mentorship, including preliminary research and ecological assessments, conceptual design with performance simulations, construction drawing refinement and green construction plan development, as well as operational strategies and user engagement mechanisms. The curriculum incorporates role-playing simulations where students assume roles such as developers, designers, contractors, property managers, and community representatives to engage in solution debates, enhancing understanding of multi-stakeholder needs and collaborative challenges. Evaluation adopts a "process portfolio + final defense" model, focusing on interdisciplinary collaboration, problem-solving capabilities, and social responsibility. This framework integrates fragmented knowledge into systematic competencies, effectively improving students' professional competence in addressing complex challenges.

6. Practical Reflections and Future Evolution Directions

Global commercial complexes have accumulated extensive experience in sustainable design practices. International benchmark projects seamlessly integrate ecological elements like vertical forests and indoor waterfalls with efficient energy systems, making green features the core of experiential narratives. Domestic practices have developed region-specific approaches in applications such as ground-source heat pumps, vertical greening, and community interaction design, demonstrating precise adaptation to local climate and cultural contexts. Evidence shows that strategy success hinges on "site-specific adaptation": tropical regions prioritize shading ventilation and rainwater management, while cold climates emphasize thermal insulation, heat storage, and solar energy utilization. Technology selection must prioritize user experience, naturally incorporating ecological principles into spatial flow and sensory details to make sustainability values perceptible through comfortable interactions, thereby avoiding fragmented experiences and operational inefficiencies caused by didactic design approaches.

Future design will prioritize integrated technological convergence, intelligent empowerment, and equitable social development. Digital twin technology enables virtual mapping and operational optimization throughout building lifecycles, while innovative materials like self-healing concrete and photocatalytic coatings expand application boundaries while reducing maintenance demands. Circular economy principles are deeply embedded: standardized modular components facilitate disassembly and reconfiguration, while sustainable decorative materials emphasize recyclability to enhance resource efficiency. Driven by carbon peaking and neutrality goals, design perspectives evolve from individual energy conservation to regional coordination, exploring energy sharing systems, integrated rainwater management, and green transportation connectivity to transform complexes into key nodes in urban low-carbon networks. Social inclusivity gains prominence through equitable access to green spaces, public vendor stalls supporting small businesses and artisans, and strengthened community cohesion. Industry consensus clarifies that sustainable design transcends technical competition—it requires scientific responses to ecological constraints and humanistic engagement with diverse communities. When details like natural ventilation windows, shared gardens, and eco-education hubs embody respect for nature and humanistic care, commercial complexes evolve into urban spaces blending ecological resilience with humanistic warmth, transcending mere consumption functions to become daily practice venues that inspire awareness and foster emotional connections.

Environmental design education requires synchronized innovation: universities should collaborate with industry associations and enterprises to jointly establish a "Sustainable Design Teaching Resource Library," which will compile benchmark project materials (including drawings, operational data, and user feedback) along with micro-lectures and practical training guidelines. Graduation projects should include a sustainability specialization, requiring proposals to incorporate technical strategies, social impact assessments, and recommendations for teaching transformation. Efforts should be made to strengthen the development of "dual-qualified" faculty teams, promoting two-way interaction between teachers 'industry practice and lectures by industry experts. Sustainable concepts should be integrated into curriculum-based ideological education, using case studies such as "community-built agricultural parks" and "intangible cultural heritage revitalization spaces" to guide students in reflecting on design's role in promoting social equity, cultural heritage preservation, and ecological justice. This approach cultivates the original aspiration of "designing for the people" and the mission of "builders of a beautiful China." The fundamental mission of education lies in nurturing outstanding talents with professional competence, humanistic sentiment, and social responsibility. Only by fostering deep integration between education and industry and establishing a collaborative education system rooted in China's realities and responsive to contemporary challenges can we continuously produce versatile builders, contributing solid educational strength to envisioning a harmonious coexistence between humans and nature in a beautiful China.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References:

[1] Awadh A, 2024, Innovative Strategies and Practices for Enhancing Energy Efficiency in Shopping Mall Design. International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Trends, 10(2): 124-132.

[2] Sari I, Rahman MA, 2025, Sustainable streetscape design in commercial area toward green city: comparative study between Indonesia and Malaysia. Journal of Urban Management, 14(1): 26-38.

[3] Guo Y, Chen H, 2024, Research on the Teaching of Environmental Design Specialized Courses in the Context of Industry-Education Integration. Frontiers in Educational Research, 7(5): 86-90.

[4] Li X, Wang Y, 2025, Research on the Application of Flexible Credit System in Environmental Design Major through University-Industry Collaboration. Atlantis Highlights in Engineering, 15: 45-51.

[5] Türkeli A, Schophuizen M, 2025, A survey to investigate approaches, methods, contents and objectives in education for sustainable design. Design Science, 11: e15.

[6] Ahmad L, 2025, Teaching Design for Sustainable Futures: Community, Construction, and Creativity. Routledge.

[7] Micklethwaite P, 2022, Sustainable Design Masters: Increasing the Sustainability Literacy of Designers. Sustainability, 14(6): 3255.

[8] Elnaklah A, et al., 2024, Multi-criteria evaluation of sustainable facade systems for commercial buildings. Sustainable Cities and Society, 103: 105089.

[9] Xu G, 2025, The Application of Immersive Teaching in Career Development and Employment Guidance for Environmental Design Majors. The Educational Review, 5(5): 509-516.

[10] Cottafava D, et al., 2025, Integrating SDGs into sustainable design education: A systematic framework for built environment programs. Journal of Green Building, 20(2): 78-91.

[11] Zhang H, Liu C, 2024, Life cycle assessment of sustainable materials in commercial complex renovation projects. Construction Innovation, 24(3): 890-912.

[12] Chen Y, et al., 2025, Reconstruction Path of Environmental Design Courses in Local Colleges and Universities under the Background of New Liberal Arts. Journal of New Liberal Arts, 3(4): 112-120.

Publisher's note

Whioce Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.