2025 Volume 3, Issue 8
Educational Innovation Research
ISSN: 3029-1844(Print)
ISSN: 3029-1852(Online)

Research on the Pathways for Digital Empowerment in Higher Education Teaching

Jianjun Liang[1], Shu Liu[2]*, Peili Zhang[1], Dong Wang[1]
[1]Engineering University of the Joint Logistics Support Force, PLA, Chongqing 400000, China
[2]Chongqing University of Arts and Sciences, Chongqing 400000, China

**Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.*

Abstract

Against the backdrop of digital transformation, higher education teaching is confronted with systemic limitations inherent in traditional models, including a lack of personalized instructional design, monotonous teaching implementation methods, a rigid evaluation system, and insufficient management efficiency. Based on the theoretical framework of learning sciences, this paper integrates artificial intelligence with digital technologies to systematically construct optimized pathways for digital empowerment in higher education teaching from four dimensions: precision in instructional design, diversification in teaching implementation, intelligence in teaching evaluation, and data-driven management. The research confirms that innovative practices, such as constructing precise learner profiles through big data analysis, integrating VR/AR technology with blended learning, achieving multi-dimensional dynamic assessments via intelligent evaluation systems, and enhancing management collaboration efficiency through data-driven approaches, can effectively overcome the bottlenecks of traditional education. These practices provide theoretical support and practical paradigms for building an equitable and high-quality higher education system.

Keywords

Digital empowerment; Higher education; Learning sciences; Teaching optimization

Online publication: September 26, 2025

1. Introduction

With the rapid development of information technology, digital transformation has become a crucial trend in various social domains, and the education sector is no exception. The digital transformation of higher education has emerged as a core trend and strategic choice in global educational development [1–4]. This transformation will profoundly reshape the higher education ecosystem and promote the construction of a more equitable, high-quality, and open educational system. The standardized teaching model of traditional education struggles to meet the needs of the new era for cultivating interdisciplinary and innovative talents, as the one-way indoctrination model significantly inhibits the development of critical

thinking.

However, the practice of empowering higher education through digital technologies still faces numerous challenges [5–7]. Notable issues in teaching practice include a lack of in-depth learning due to the generalized content and methods, insufficient digital literacy coupled with widening generational gaps, delayed transformation of traditional lecture-based models, formalistic application of intelligent teaching technologies, and risks related to data security and ethics.

Learning science theory, as a scientific framework for studying human cognitive mechanisms, systematically reveals the intrinsic laws governing knowledge construction, skill formation, and cognitive development [5,7]. Currently, artificial intelligence technologies have demonstrated superior learning capabilities in areas such as pattern recognition, data processing, and adaptive optimization. The deep integration of these two fields offers a breakthrough pathway for the reform of higher education teaching: by taking learning science theory as the underlying logic, and integrating artificial intelligence with digital technology innovations in teaching methods, traditional educational bottlenecks can be effectively addressed. This paper will explore the possible pathways for digital empowerment in higher education teaching based on learning science theory.

2. Limitations of traditional higher education teaching

As a critical stage in talent cultivation, higher education encompasses key components such as teaching design, teaching implementation, teaching evaluation, and teaching management. A thorough analysis of the limitations inherent in traditional higher education teaching models can provide a theoretical basis for educational reform in the digital age.

2.1. Teaching design phase

Traditional teaching design primarily adopts a teacher-centered approach, where instructors rely on past experiences and research data obtained through methods such as questionnaires and symposiums to develop course designs. This approach often neglects an in-depth analysis of students' individualized learning needs, resulting in a disconnect between the teaching content and actual learning requirements. This "teacher-centered" instructional design model fails to adequately consider students' individual differences, making it difficult to stimulate their learning interest and initiative in learning [8]. Particularly in the context of the knowledge economy era, where students' learning needs exhibit diversified and personalized characteristics, the traditional "one-size-fits-all" teaching design model is increasingly incompatible with the demands of contemporary development.

Furthermore, with the rapid socioeconomic development and continuous technological advancements, the pace of knowledge update has accelerated. Traditional teaching design often fails to promptly integrate new knowledge and technologies into the curriculum, resulting in a disconnect between teaching content and societal needs [9]. This makes it challenging to meet the demands for innovative and interdisciplinary talents in the new era.

From the perspective of learning sciences, neglecting individualized learning needs can exert negative impacts on learning outcomes. According to Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs Theory [10], after learners satisfy their basic physiological and safety needs, they will develop higher-level learning needs, including the needs for belonging and love, esteem, and self-actualization. However, traditional teaching design often confines itself to knowledge transmission, neglecting students' individualized needs in terms of emotions, attitudes, and values. This "spoon-feeding" teaching design struggles to stimulate students' intrinsic motivation for learning, thereby affecting learning outcomes.

2.2. Teaching implementation phase

The traditional model primarily relies on classroom lectures, and this single teaching approach struggles to effectively stimulate students' learning interest and intrinsic motivation. From the perspective of educational psychology, a monotonous teaching method is prone to causing cognitive overload in students, thereby impairing learning outcomes. According to Cognitive Load Theory [11], human working memory capacity is limited. When information is presented in a single format and the volume of information is excessive, students' cognitive load significantly increases, leading to a decline in learning efficiency. Moreover, in traditional classroom settings,

teachers often assume the role of knowledge authorities, while students are relegated to a passive receiving position, lacking opportunities for active participation and expression. This one-way knowledge transmission not only inhibits students' creativity and critical thinking but may also cause them to lose interest and intrinsic motivation in learning. More critically, this teaching model neglects the needs for personalized development and fails to meet the learning requirements of students with diverse learning styles and cognitive characteristics.

From the perspective of Constructivist Learning Theory [12], learning is a process of actively constructing meaning rather than passively receiving knowledge. Under the traditional teaching model, students are often confined to fixed seats, passively listening to teachers' explanations, and lacking opportunities for hands-on practice and inquiry. This teaching approach neglects students' experiential backgrounds and prior knowledge, rendering the learning process mechanical and tedious, and consequently suppressing students' learning initiative.

2.3. Teaching evaluation phase

In the teaching evaluation phase, the traditional model primarily relies on examinations and assignments. This single-mode evaluation approach has numerous limitations. From the perspective of educational measurement, a single evaluation method cannot comprehensively reflect students' learning outcomes, often leading to one-sided and misleading evaluation results. This evaluation model not only neglects students' multiple intelligences and the needs for personalized development demonstrated during the learning process, but may also result in biased and inaccurate evaluation results.

Furthermore, the traditional teaching evaluation phase is plagued by two major issues: overly uniform evaluation criteria and untimely evaluation feedback. Uniform evaluation criteria find it difficult to cater to students' personalized development needs, which can easily lead to unfairness in evaluation results. Meanwhile, the lag in evaluation feedback also affects students' ability to make timely adjustments and improvements during the learning process, making it difficult to fully leverage the diagnostic and facilitative functions of evaluation. Research data indicates that timely evaluation feedback can significantly enhance students' learning outcomes and learning motivation. However, traditional evaluation feedback methods are often delayed and fail to provide the necessary guidance during the learning process. Additionally, a single evaluation approach may also have negative impacts on students' learning psychology, such as fostering an examination-oriented mentality, learning anxiety, thereby reducing students' learning interest and initiative.

2.4. Teaching management phase

In the current educational landscape, the traditional teaching management model exhibits significant deficiencies in real-time monitoring and data analysis. This model is incapable of dynamically monitoring the reaching process and conducting in-depth data mining. As a result, management decisions lack a scientific basis, making it difficult to achieve precise teaching delivery and personalized guidance. In terms of curriculum resource allocation, the traditional model fails to achieve optimal allocation [13]. This leads to a situation where some curriculum resources lie idle while others are in short supply. Such an irrational resource allocation not only undermines the utilization efficiency of educational resources but also restricts the improvement of educational quality. Therefore, it is imperative for us to seek new teaching management models to meet the demands of modern education, enhance educational quality, and promote educational equity.

3. Pathways for digital empowerment in higher education teaching

Digital empowerment offers new possibilities for optimizing traditional educational processes. In the field of higher education, the in-depth application of digital technologies is reshaping every aspect of teaching and driving innovation and transformation in educational models. Specifically, the pathways for digital empowerment in higher education teaching are mainly manifested in the following aspects.

3.1. Precision in the design phase

In the teaching design phase, digital technologies, through big data analysis and learning analytics, can

comprehensively collect and analyze learners' learning behavior data, cognitive characteristics, and learning preferences. Specifically, digital technologies can systematically collect learners' behavioral data from the following dimensions: learning duration, learning progress, degree of knowledge mastery, problem-solving strategies, level of attention concentration, and emotional states. After these data are cleaned, pre-processed, and standardized, in-depth mining can be carried out through machine learning algorithms, which can reveal the inherent laws of learners' cognitive characteristics and the personalized features of learning preferences.

Based on machine-learning algorithms, the system can construct accurate profiles of learners, providing data support for teachers to design personalized and scientific teaching plans. These accurate profiles not only cover learners' basic characteristics and learning abilities but also include their deep-level features such as cognitive styles, learning motivations, and metacognitive abilities. For instance, through data mining methods like cluster analysis, teachers can identify student groups with similar learning characteristics, thereby implementing stratified teaching strategies based on cognitive features. This stratification method breaks through the limitations of traditional classification by grades. Instead, it is based on multi-dimensional learner characteristic analysis, more scientifically meeting the personalized needs of different learning groups.

In addition, digital technologies can offer intelligent teaching design tools. These tools can not only automatically generate course outlines and teaching activities that align with teaching objectives, but also dynamically adjust teaching content and progress according to learner characteristics. These tools typically integrate advanced educational theories and best-practice cases, significantly enhancing the efficiency and quality of teaching design. For instance, based on learning analytics results, the system can recommend learning resources and teaching strategies suitable for different learners, helping teachers optimize the teaching design process.

It is worth noting that the application of digital technologies in teaching design is not a simple technical superimposition but requires deep integration with educational theories and practices. For example, by combining with Cognitive Load Theory, the system can analyze learners' information-processing capabilities and reasonably arrange the difficulty and complexity of teaching content. By integrating with Constructivist Learning Theory, the system can design teaching activities that promote active learning. This organic integration of technology and theory makes teaching design more scientific and personalized, effectively enhancing teaching effectiveness and learners' learning experiences.

3.2. Diversification in the teaching implementation phase

Driven by digital technologies, the teaching implementation phase in higher education is undergoing a profound transformation. This transformation is not only manifested in the diversification of teaching methods but also has a deeper impact on the presentation of teaching content, the teacher-student interaction model, and the support system for personalized learning. Specifically, the impact of digital technologies on teaching implementation in higher education can be analyzed from four aspects: the presentation of teaching content, the innovation of teaching models, the support for personalized learning, and the teaching assessment mechanisms. For instance, the introduction of virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) technologies offers revolutionary solutions for visualizing abstract theoretical knowledge. In medical education, VR technology can not only simulate real surgeries, allowing students to practice operations in a virtual environment, but also help them correct errors during operations through real-time feedback systems. This immersive learning experience enables students to repeatedly practice in a safe environment, significantly enhancing their mastery of professional skills.

Meanwhile, the widespread application of blended teaching models has achieved optimal allocation of teaching resources. By organically integrating online learning with offline teaching, this model not only retains the advantages of real-time interaction in traditional classrooms but also fully leverages the convenience and flexibility of digital learning. Online platforms can provide a wealth of learning resources and autonomous learning spaces, while offline classrooms focus on in-depth discussions, practical operations, and personalized guidance. Especially during the COVID-19 pandemic,

the superiority of this teaching model has been fully demonstrated [14], ensuring the continuity and high-quality implementation of teaching activities.

3.3. Intellectualization in the teaching evaluation phase

The application of digital technologies in the field of teaching evaluation has brought about revolutionary innovations in evaluation methods. Teaching evaluation systems based on artificial intelligence (AI) technology construct a multi-dimensional and multi-level evaluation framework by collecting and analyzing students' learning behavior data in real time. Through the analysis of these data and their correlations, it is possible to obtain students' learning trajectories, including multi-dimensional data such as classroom participation, assignment completion, and test performance. This enables accurate identification of students' levels of knowledge mastery, cognitive development, and learning attitude characteristics, which helps teachers implement differentiated teaching strategies.

The evaluation system based on AI data analysis can also provide timely feedback information, helping students promptly identify weak areas in their learning and adjust their learning strategies. Meanwhile, the personalized learning suggestions generated by the system offer scientific learning guidance to students. This formative assessment approach effectively stimulates students' learning initiative and cultivates their autonomous learning abilities.

3.4. Enhanced efficiency in the teaching management phase

In the field of higher education management, the in-depth application of digital technologies has not only significantly improved management efficiency but also provided a solid support system for the innovation of educational governance models. From the perspective of management practice, digital technologies mainly reshape the management paradigm of higher education through the following three dimensions:

Firstly, intelligent allocation systems based on data mining technology have achieved precise allocation and dynamic optimization of teaching resources. Specifically, by analyzing historical teaching data, this system can accurately identify differences in teaching requirements across different disciplines and courses, thereby realizing the optimal allocation of teaching resources.

Secondly, the introduction of real-time monitoring systems has provided a strong guarantee for the continuous improvement of teaching quality. These systems are not only capable of collecting multi-dimensional data in real time, such as classroom participation and the frequency of teacher-student interactions, but also able to analyze students' classroom feedback through natural language processing technology, promptly identifying potential issues in the teaching process.

Finally, the application of cross-platform data integration has enabled efficient sharing of educational resources and seamless collaboration among teachers. By establishing unified data standards and interface specifications, it is possible to achieve interconnection and interoperability of teaching resources among different universities.

4. Conclusion

Based on learning sciences theory, this paper systematically explores the optimized pathways for digital empowerment in higher education teaching. The research indicates that traditional higher education has limitations such as a lack of personalization, lagging technology application, and a simplistic evaluation approach in the aspects of teaching design, implementation, evaluation, and management. However, through innovative applications such as the construction of accurate learner profiles, the integration of diverse scenarios, intelligent evaluation systems, and data-driven management, digital technologies have effectively overcome the development bottlenecks of traditional educational models.

The study confirms that digital empowerment requires in-depth integration of technology and educational theories. This includes integrating Cognitive Load Theory and Constructivist Learning Theory in teaching design, combining immersive VR/AR experiences with blended teaching models in teaching implementation, constructing multi-dimensional, dynamic assessment models in the evaluation system, and achieving cross-platform data collaboration in resource

management. This systemic transformation not only enhances teaching precision and interactivity but also reconstructs a "learner-centered" educational ecosystem.

Future research can further explore the boundaries of educational data application under the ethical norms of artificial intelligence, as well as the long-term mechanisms of blended teaching models in the post-pandemic era. The practical implications of digital empowerment in higher education lie in promoting the coordinated development of educational equity and quality improvement through technological innovation, providing sustainable pathway references for building a high-quality higher education system.

Funding

This paper received support from the Chongqing Municipal Higher Education Teaching Reform Project (Project No.: PY202482).

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Guo M, Yan Z, 2023, Hot Spots and Trends of Education Digitization Research at Home and Abroad. Chinese Journal of ICT in Education, 29(12): 67–78.

[2] Zhang M, Xue S, 2023, Global Iteration and Development of Digital Transformation in Higher Education. China Higher Education, (Z3): 27–30.

[3] Research Group on the World Higher Education Digitalization Development Report, 2023, Generation Logic, Scientific Connotation and Practical Application of the World Higher Education Digitalization Development Index. China Higher Education, (02): 11–15 + 26.

[4] Wu L, Liu Y, Wu D, et al., 2023, Analysis of the Current Situation of Digital Development in Higher Education at Home and Abroad. China Higher Education, (02): 61–64.

[5] Sun D, 2025, Learning Analytics from the Perspective of Learning Sciences: Development History, Key Methods, and Future Prospects. Journal of Hangzhou Normal University (Natural Science Edition), 24(05): 509–515.

[6] Zeng L, Xing H, 2024, Internal Challenges and Development Strategies of Digital Technology Empowering Modernization Transformation in Higher Education. Jiangsu Higher Education, (08): 71–77.

[7] Zhang J, Niu X, Huang Y, 2024, Learning Theories and Methodology in the Learning Sciences: Paradigm and Practical. e-Education Research, 45(06): 23–33.

[8] Shen T, Locke S, 2016, On Outcome-Based Educational Theories. Journal of Higher Education Management, 10(05): 47–51.

[9] Jiao W, Xu Y, Li J, 2024, Teaching Reform of Mechanical Design Course Design Based on OBE Concept. University, (02): 101–104.

[10] Wu H, 2006, Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs Theory and Its Philosophical Implications. Journal of the Party School of Harbin Committee, (02): 31–33 + 60.

[11] Chen Q, 2007, Cognitive Load Theory and Its Development. Modern Educational Technology, (09): 16–19 + 15.

[12] Wen P, Jia G, 2002, Constructionism Theory & Teaching Reform——A Summary of Constructionism Learning Theory.

Theory and Practice of Education, (05): 17–22.

[13] Li W, 2025, Research on the High-Quality Development of Higher Education in China at the Stage of Popularization——The Perspective Based on Fairness and Efficiency. Theory and Practice of Education, 45(18): 3–7.

[14] Zhang Q, Ma X, 2021, Construction and Suggestions of Integrated Teaching Model in Post-Epidemic Period. Jiangsu Higher Education, (02): 93–97.