2025 Volume 3, Issue 6
Educational Innovation Research
ISSN: 3029-1844(Print)
ISSN: 3029-1852(Online)

The Logical Interpretation, Practical Dilemma and Practical Path of Digital Technology Enabling the Transformation of Higher Education

Shuqi Liu
School of Marxism, Qufu Normal University, Jining 273165, Shandong, China

Abstract

Driven by the dual impetus of the digital technology revolution and the national education digitalization strategy, higher education is undergoing profound transformation towards personalization and intelligentization. Digital technologies empower educational quality, efficiency, and equity through technological empowerment, demand-driven initiatives, and systemic restructuring. However, the transition faces multiple challenges, including inadequate infrastructure, data silos, insufficient digital literacy among faculty, outdated evaluation systems, funding shortages, and data security concerns. To address these issues, a systematic implementation path should be adopted: strengthening top-level design, building an integrated "cloud-network-data-terminal" digital foundation, empowering teacher development, reforming teaching evaluation mechanisms, and improving data governance and security systems. Only through collaborative innovation and sustained exploration can higher education achieve high-quality development and advance toward a new era of smart education.

Keywords

digital technology; higher education; digital transformation; practical challenges; implementation pathways

Online publication: July 26, 2025

1. Introduction

Amid the rapid advancement of digital technologies, the transformation and innovative development of higher education paradigms have become crucial drivers for nations to enhance national competitiveness and address future challenges. This transformation is not merely about the simple accumulation of technological applications, but rather a systematic overhaul of traditional educational philosophies, teaching methodologies, management structures, and resource ecosystems[1]. This paper aims to systematically deconstruct the logical mechanisms through which digital technologies empower higher education transformation, reveal practical dilemmas encountered during the transition, and propose collaborative innovation pathways. The findings provide theoretical references and practical guidelines for achieving high-quality transformation in higher education.

2. The logical interpretation of digital technology enabling the transformation of higher education

The transformation of higher education empowered by digital technology is a systematic change in multiple dimensions and levels. Its internal logic can be systematically explained from four aspects: technology-driven, demand-driven, system reconstruction and value remodeling[2].

First, the inherent characteristics of digital technology serve as the fundamental driving force for transforming higher education. Artificial Intelligence (AI) systematically processes massive data through machine algorithms and deep learning techniques, providing students with intelligent tutoring, personalized learning path planning, and precise analysis of learning processes. The integration of big data and cloud computing breaks physical space limitations, offering scalable computing and storage resources. Virtual simulation experiments driven by AR and VR enable students to conduct high-risk, high-cost practical operations in secure environments, significantly enhancing learning immersion, engagement, and skill acquisition effectiveness. The synergistic application of these technologies enables higher education to achieve greater efficiency, improved quality, and broader coverage at the technological level[3].

Secondly, the fundamental driving force behind higher education transformation stems from the dual pull of external societal demands and internal individual needs. From a social development perspective, the booming digital economy has imposed new requirements on talent's knowledge structure and competency qualities[4]. Higher education must shift to a model that emphasizes cultivating practical skills, innovative thinking, and lifelong learning capabilities. Regarding individual needs, Gen Z and α learners have grown accustomed to fragmented, interactive, and real-time feedback learning methods. They no longer settle for passive knowledge absorption but actively seek to autonomously select learning content, control their learning pace, and participate in knowledge construction. Moreover, the widespread adoption of lifelong learning concepts requires higher education institutions to break free from the limitations of "one-time education," providing continuous knowledge updates and skill enhancement services for society members. The flexible and open learning ecosystem built through digital technology serves as the key to achieving this goal[5].

Thirdly, the empowering effect of digital technology is manifested in the systematic restructuring of both internal elements and the overall ecosystem within higher education systems. On one hand, intelligent tutoring systems driven by digital intelligence, learning analytics technologies, and collaborative learning tools have transformed teachers from "knowledge transmitters" into "learning designers, guides, and companions." Students now actively participate in constructing their learning processes, creating more interactive and equal teacher-student relationships. Knowledge itself has evolved from static, closed textual formats to dynamic, open, and interactive multimodal resources. On the other hand, institutions such as universities, enterprises, research organizations, governments, and non-profits achieve closer collaboration through digital platforms. Informal learning spaces like open-source communities, professional forums, and online study groups have become crucial supplements to formal education. This open, collaborative ecosystem significantly enriches educational resources and expands the boundaries of learning[6].

Ultimately, the transformative value of digitalization lies in elevating the overall efficiency of higher education systems and achieving fundamental breakthroughs in talent development. Digital technologies possess dual potential for "universal access" and "compensatory benefits". The development and sharing of high-quality digital educational resources effectively bridge educational divides across regions, between urban and rural areas, and among institutions. Simultaneously, digital transformation drives universities to transition from experience-driven management to data-driven governance. By establishing integrated smart campus platforms, institutions gain real-time monitoring and systematic analysis capabilities across teaching, research, and service operations. This empowers scientific decision-making, optimizes resource allocation, and enables early risk warnings, significantly enhancing governance effectiveness. Furthermore, through collaborative development of digital courses, joint

laboratories, and online practice platforms, universities can better align with industry demands, cultivating innovative and practically skilled interdisciplinary talents who can effectively support China's innovation-driven development strategy[7].

3. The practical dilemma of digital technology enabling the transformation of higher education

While digital technologies have painted a promising blueprint for the transformation of higher education, their practical implementation still faces multiple deep-seated challenges. These intertwined obstacles hinder the full realization of technology's empowering potential, primarily manifesting in five key areas: infrastructure development, institutional capacity building, funding guarantees, evaluation systems, and ethical safeguards.

First, there exist technical bottlenecks in digital infrastructure development. With the widespread adoption of high-definition video streaming and large-scale cloud computing applications, universities face exponential growth in demand for network bandwidth, storage capacity, and computing resources. The heterogeneity of campus teaching systems leads to inconsistent data standards. Driven by departmental interests, privacy concerns, or technical barriers, departments often treat data as "proprietary assets" and resist sharing, creating data silos that hinder cross-departmental collaboration. This impedes data integration for cross-process analysis, thereby limiting the potential for intelligent decision-making. Therefore, establishing a highly elastic, available, and low-latency cloud-network integrated infrastructure has become a fundamental challenge in contemporary university digital infrastructure development[8].

Secondly, teachers face challenges in adapting to role transitions and personal capability development. The implementation of new teaching models such as blended learning, online interactive organization, data analysis and application for learning, and virtual simulation resource development has raised higher demands on educators. However, the current university teacher training system exhibits systemic deficiencies in cultivating digital teaching competencies, including insufficient relevance, inadequate specificity, and lack of practicality. This results in teachers' skill enhancement lagging behind technological advancement needs. Moreover, during role transition, some educators may experience identity anxiety, technical apprehension, or resistance to change, making it difficult for them to adapt to new professional roles and work methodologies[9].

Thirdly, the absence of funding models and long-term mechanisms hinders the digital transformation of higher education. Digital projects involve not only upfront construction costs but also ongoing operation, maintenance, upgrades, and human resource expenditures. However, many universities' digital initiatives primarily rely on government special funds or internal fundraising, resulting in relatively limited funding sources and often lacking clear financial sustainability plans. This not only leads to insufficient capital investment but also causes projects to become "built yet unused" or "unable to sustain themselves," ultimately undermining the long-term effectiveness of the transformation[10].

Fourthly, the existing evaluation methods remain rigid and lack modern assessment frameworks with quantifiable metrics. The traditional education evaluation system is severely disconnected from the demands of digital transformation, creating a major obstacle to innovation. Although scholars have proposed theoretical analytical tools like the CIPP model or TOE framework, there remains a lack of widely recognized evaluation systems with concrete quantitative indicators in practice. Evaluations often remain at the level of superficial statistics such as platform usage rates and resource quantities, while core outcomes including student learning achievements, enhancement of higher-order competencies, and teaching efficiency among educators are inadequately quantified[11].

Fifth, the inadequacy of ethical safeguards and compliance pressures in personal data protection pose significant challenges. While AI-powered personalized learning platforms deliver convenience, they have triggered ethical concerns including data privacy breaches, algorithmic bias, information echo chambers, and technological dependency. Critical issues require urgent resolution: ensuring algorithmic fairness, transparency, and explainability; and clarifying the boundaries between human educators and AI systems. Moreover, over-reliance on technology risks diminishing humanistic care

in education. If digital transformation neglects the essence of education, it may foster a "technology-first" mentality that erodes the warmth and soul of learning.

4. Practical paths for digital technology to empower the transformation of higher education

Faced with the practical dilemma of digital technology enabling the transformation of higher education, colleges and universities need to adopt systematic and forward-looking practical paths, promote the coordinated progress of strategic, technological, human, governance and cultural dimensions, and build a sustainable digital transformation ecology[12].

First, strengthen top-level design and formulate systematic transformation strategies. Universities should elevate digital transformation to a strategic level by developing clear, systematic, and long-term plans. A prime example is Zhejiang University's approach, which established a comprehensive digital transformation strategy. The university adopted an integrated "cloud-network-data-terminal" development framework and implemented phased progress through "small entry points, large-scale scenarios," ensuring systematic implementation and operational feasibility. By forming a leadership group headed by senior administrators, the institution clarified its vision, objectives, and roadmap for transformation. This initiative broke down departmental barriers and coordinated resources across academic affairs, research, student services, IT operations, and finance departments, creating a unified university-wide synergy[13].

Second, strengthen the digital foundation and build an integrated smart platform. A solid technical base is essential for successful transformation. We must establish secure cloud-network infrastructure while advancing data governance and interoperability. Continuous investment should be made in constructing high-speed, ubiquitous, and intelligent campus networks alongside unified cloud computing platforms, providing robust computing power and storage support for smart applications. The university should implement standardized data protocols and interface specifications across all systems to eliminate data silos, enabling centralized storage, cleaning, integration, and sharing of information. A data asset catalog should be created to clarify ownership, management rights, and usage permissions. By consolidating functionalities from academic affairs, student services, research systems, human resources, finance, and library resources, we will deliver a "one-stop" service portal for faculty and students[14].

Thirdly, empowering teacher development to enhance digital literacy and innovation capabilities. As key implementers and drivers of digital transformation, teachers require a regularized and systematic support framework. A tiered digital literacy training system should be established, featuring differentiated curricula tailored to teachers across disciplines, age groups, and skill levels. The program should cover foundational skills, pedagogical applications, and advanced competencies through practical approaches like workshops, case studies, and peer collaboration, moving away from rigid lecture-based formats. Furthermore, cross-disciplinary faculty teams should be encouraged to form teaching innovation groups for sharing digital teaching experiences and resources. Online communities and social media platforms should facilitate teacher exchanges and collaborations. Educational technology experts and exemplary practitioners should be invited to provide guidance and demonstrations, fostering an innovation-driven campus culture[15].

Fourth, reform the evaluation system to stimulate intrinsic innovation motivation. A diversified teacher evaluation framework should be established. Beyond academic research assessments, greater emphasis should be placed on evaluating teaching investments and pedagogical innovations. Digital teaching achievements such as online course development, blended learning practices, and technological innovations in education should be incorporated into the evaluation scope. Exploring a "representative achievement" evaluation system will encourage teachers to produce high-quality, impactful digital teaching outcomes. Simultaneously, reforms in student evaluation methods should reduce reliance on written exams alone. By integrating multi-source data including classroom interaction metrics, platform learning behaviors, assignment completion rates, and peer evaluations, we can achieve more comprehensive, objective, and dynamic assessments of

teaching processes and learning outcomes. This approach ensures timely formative feedback for both teachers and students, realizing the diagnostic, improvement-oriented, and motivational functions of evaluations.

Fifth, deepen data governance and establish mechanisms for data security and privacy protection. To fully leverage data's role in educational decision-making and management, a comprehensive data governance framework must be established. This includes defining full lifecycle management standards covering data collection, storage, usage, sharing, and destruction, while improving data quality assessment and monitoring systems to ensure accuracy, integrity, and timeliness. Additionally, strict compliance with relevant laws and regulations is required, including encrypted storage and desensitization of sensitive data. Access control should be rigorously enforced, with a robust information security system and emergency response plan in place. Regular security training and compliance audits should be conducted, and data usage policies must be transparently disclosed to faculty and students to safeguard their right to informed choice.

5. Conclusions

Digital technologies are driving the transformation of higher education towards personalization, equity, and intelligentization, yet face multiple challenges including outdated infrastructure, data silos, rigid evaluation systems, insufficient investment, and ethical risks. The key to breaking through lies in systematic advancement: strengthening top-level design to build a learner-centered intelligent ecosystem; consolidating the integrated cloud-network-data foundation; empowering teachers' digital literacy; and deepening data-driven governance reforms. Only through collaborative innovation and continuous exploration can we achieve comprehensive improvements in educational quality, efficiency, and equity, propelling higher education into a new phase of high-quality development amidst the digital wave.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Mou Z J, 2016, Personalized Learning Pathways Supported by Learner Data Portraits: Unlocking the Value of — Learning Computing. Journal of Distance Education, (06): 11-19.

[2] Liu X, Chen H, Luo X P, 2023, Evaluation of Virtual Simulation Teaching Models in Interventional Radiology Practice Education. China Continuing Medical Education, (14): 28-31.

[3] Wu X R, Wang Z, 2018, Development Trends and Practical Cases of Artificial Intelligence Education Applications. Modern Educational Technology (02): 5-11.

[4] Chen W Z, Zhang Z H, Yun Xia, 2022, Practices and Explorations of Digital Transformation in First-Class Universities—Zhejiang University's Experiences and Models. China Education Informatization, (05): 3-12.

[5] Sun Y Q, 2020, Issues and Improvement Strategies for Blended Teaching in Vocational Colleges. Vocational Education, (26): 54-57.

[6] Zhang X Y, Song X Y, 2024, Japan Adds 11 New "AI Research Schools". Shanghai Education, (24): 42-43.

[7] Wang X, Li W S, Wang Y W, 2024, Exploring the Transformation of Educational Measurement and Evaluation Based on Large Models— A Case Study of Potential Applications of Generative Artificial Intelligence Technology. Primary and Secondary School Information Technology Education, (05): 12-14.

[8] Xing Z J, Wang J X, 2024, The Internal Mechanism and Implementation Pathways of Digital-Intelligent Transformation in Higher Education for Empowering New Quality Productivity. Nanjing Social Sciences. (12): 155-162.

[9] Zhang C Y, 2024, The Trend and Development of Digital Transformation in Higher Education. Science, Education and

Culture Review, (23): 9-12.

[10] Liang Y C, Zhang S C, 2024, Research on the Transformation of Professional Layout in China's Higher Education in the Era of Digital Intelligent Transformation. Youth Exploration, (06): 55-68.

[11] Lu X B, Chen Y F, Qian X L, 2024, Empowering New Quality Productivity through Digital Transformation in Higher Education: Key Elements, Theoretical Logic, and Practical Pathways. Higher Education Management, (06): 14-21.

[12] Song Y Y, 2024, The Connotation, Challenges, and Path Optimization of Digital Transformation in Higher Education from the Metaverse Perspective. Educational Theory and Practice, (33): 3-8.

[13] Tang T T, 2024, Research on Pathways of Digital Transformation in Higher Education. Science Education Journal, (29): 4-6.

[14] Yu X, 2024, Theoretical Connotation and Practical Pathways of Digital Transformation in Higher Education Teaching. Journal of Zhejiang Shuren University, (05): 71-79.

[15] Chen Z S, Zhou M, Feng L C, 2024, Research on the Logical Framework and Information System of Digital Transformation in Higher Education. China Education Informatization, (09): 50-59.